

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

se oc

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 097012, 148 01722798 WEAVER B 10778-12601

MM41/0105

SIDEEY & AUSTIN 4500 RENAISSANCE TOWER 1201 ELM STREET DALLAS TX 75270-2197

Section of the section

EXAMINER STRECKER, G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2858

DATE MAILED: 01/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. O9/0/2/48 Applicant(s) WEAVER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit			
	G.R. STRECKER 2858			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
Period for Response	· ·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE			
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default.	a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) /-/8	is/are pending in the application			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration			
M Claim(s)//~/8	is/are allowed			
X Claim(s) // ~ 18 X Claim(s) /, 2 and 5 - 10 X Claim(s) 3 and 4	is/are rejected.			
	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election			
Application Papers	requirement.			
•••	Review PTO-948			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	he priority documents have been			
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter 				
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	o(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	•••			
	Action Summary			
011100				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/0/2/4	8	Applicant(s)	NEAVER	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Examiner G.R. STO		CKER	Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	eet be	eneath the co	orrespondence ad	dress	
Period for Response						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	3	MONT	H(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the s It, expire SIX (6) MO	statuto NTHS	ry minimum of the from the mailing	nirty (30) days will be c g date of this communic	onsidered timely.	
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					· •	
☐ This action is FINAL .			•	'u		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935				the merits is clos	ed in	
Disposition of Claims	•				7.	
X Claim(s) /- /8			is/are	pending in the appli	cation.	
Of the above claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
X Claim(s) // - /8					Z)	
X Claim(s) 1, 2 and 5-10			. •			
X Claim(s) 3 and 4						
☐ Claim(s)			are sul	oject to restriction o	or election	
Application Papers			require	ment.		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.	•				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approv	/ed [☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examir	ner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				_		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	-		•	÷	1	
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Intern 		CT R	ule 1 7.2(a)).	<u> </u>		
*Certified copies not received:	. 3					
Attachment(s)	•					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	s). 2	□in	terview Sumr	nary, PTO-413		
				ice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948				· ·		
· ·	Action Summary					

Art Unit: 2858

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDaniel. McDaniel discloses (Fig. 2) a metal detector, comprising: a transmit coil 4, a first receive coil 6, a second receive coil 5, a frame 12, and a metal detector circuit (col. 1, lines 12-34). "Overlap", as indicated at page 5, lines 22, 23 of the specification, means that no part of the first receive coil is located above, below or within the transmit coil. In view of such definition, first receive coil 6 is positioned offset from transmit coil 4 such that it does not overlap said transmit coil. With respect to claim 6, although McDaniels are not rectangular, provision of rectangular coils would be implicit since metal detectors inherently encompass coils of different geometric shapes.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morita et al.

Application/Control Number: 08/012,148

Art Unit: 2858

Morita et al discloses (Fig. 4) a detector, comprising: a transmit coil (13), a first receive coil (15₂) offset from said transmit coil, and a second receive coil (15₁) overlapping said transmit coil. The detector would necessarily include a frame for supporting the coils. The arrangement of Morita et al would inherently constitute a metal detector circuit. However, even if such is not the case, it would have been obvious to employ the detector of Morita et al as a metal detector, since inductive transmitter-receiver coil systems are routinely used for such purposes. With respect to claim 9, it would have been obvious to provide a switch for selective coupling of the coils to the processor, as a mere discretionary design alternative, to provide separate monitoring of the signals before comparison and processing, or, to eliminate duplicate detection circuitry. The shape of the coils would involve merely a discretionary design feature.

Page 3

The Prior Art does not suggest a dual field metal detector arrangement having overlapping and non-overlapping receiver-transmit coil relationships wherein the receive coils are perpendicular to each other, or, the transmit coil is perpendicular to the first receive coil.

Nor does the Prior Art suggest a dual field metal detector having a receive coil and a first transmit coil which is offset from the receive coil and which does not overlap the receive coil and a second transmit coil which overlaps the receive coil.

Fore et al, Karbowski, McClanahan, Mamontov et al (106 and 946), and Weaver et al are made of record to show transmit-receiver coil metal detectors.

Application/Control Number: 08/012,148

Art Unit: 2858

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to G.R. Strecker at telephone number (703) 305-4937.

Strecker/dc December 29, 1998

Gerard Strecker Primary Examiner Page 4